IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.889 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.770 OF 2006

Raman Jeevan Rathod ...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.Khaiyam Shaikh i/b Ms Anjali Iyer for the Applicant
Mr.S.R.Shinde, A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : DECEMBER 6,2006.

P.C.:

1. The learned A.P.P. on instructions makes a statement that the Applicant has surrendered and he is in custody.

2. The Appeal has been admitted. The conviction of the Applicant is for the offences punishable under section 13(1)(d) read with section 13(2) as well as under section 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. The substantive sentences are directed to run concurrently. The maximum substantive sentence is R.I. for one year.

3. The Applicant was on bail throughout the trial. Considering the pendency of the Appeals in this Court, this Appeal is not likely to be heard finally before the period of sentence is over. Hence, the Applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

4. Hence, Application is disposed of by passing the following order :

i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to furnishing fresh bail bonds.

ii) The order granting bail will be given effect only after the fine amount is paid, if not paid till today.

iii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will report to the concerned Office of Anti Corruption Bureau on first Saturday of every calender month till the disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE